RAY MINING LC 884 No. Oakwood C. Price, Utah 84 FAX Memo 12:30

Date: 17 June 9 4 Time: 12:30 2

To: D. Wayne Hedberry

Location:

FAX #: 354 - 3940

From: Byran N. Ray

Location:

FAX#: 637-2991 Phone #: 637-2991

16 May 1994

Mr. D. Wayne Hedberg 355 West North Temple Salt Lake City, Utah 84180-1203

JUN 1 7 1994
DIV. OF OIL, GAS & MINING

Dear Mr. Tedberg:

I am writing in response to your recent request for information in relation to your rent site inspection of our Cudahy Mine site (S/027/043) in Millard Cour

On apply of your let. I called and spoke to Travis Jones of your office. I salamed to him the current situation as best I know it. The situation is as follows:

A. Ray has maintained this property, and a number of surrounding to you have the property was worked off and on in years past, but in the same has a mostly idle. Several years ago, Tony Aguire and Steve has a 1 the basersified Stone Products company in Fillmore contacted dad with the compast that they be permitted to remove small quantities of pumice for the post of alling to a company who was making stone washed denim. It is my adderstanding that this agreement was merely a verbal arrangement.

In Linuary, 1993, because of his failing health and memory, my father was placed in a nursing home in Salt Lake City. I was living in New Hampshire at the time, and have recently moved to Utah, in part, to assist dad with some of his business all airs, to clean his home so it can be sold, and to be a little closer to

late summer of 1993 I passed through Fillmore to check some of the es we have, and at that time I spoke to Iony and Steve. They informed me not shipping pumice for stone washed denim any more, but that they were for landscaping and decorative purposes. We had received very the way of royalty payments, but shortly after that conversation, we record to small check.

My impose n at the time of our visit (based on the royalty payments, and their conversation) was that the amount of material they were removing from the property was minimal. They were, in fact, going to the mine the day I spoke with them, and it appeared that they had a couple of laborers and a small truck which they would be loading by hand.

I expressed my concern to them at the time of our visit that a verbal agreement did not seem appropriate, and that we should formalize the arrangements in writing at some time in the future. This has not yet been done.

Regarding our contact with Mr. Tom Clark I can say that we attempted to work out a lease agreement with him and his partner so they could operate the mine, but we were not able to come to an agreement on all of the terms and conditions for a workable lease. I can say that we found Tom to be honest and pleasant to work with, but we could not say the same for his partner. I feel confident that I can trust Tom when he says that he has not done any work on the property. To my knowledge, there is no connection between Tom and Diversified Stone.

Wayne, four correspondence has raised several questions and concerns in my mind. First, how extensive is the mining being done by Diversified Stone? We have certainly not been informed of any major shipments from the mine. If Hess Pumice is receiving materials from the mine in the amounts suggested by the presence of a tand, a truck and trailer being loaded with a front end loader, the operation is much larger than what we have been led to believe based on royalties and verbal c versations.

A select cern centers around the possible size of the operation, and how much of "! ... recent, and how much is from past operations. I am trying to become but this is a new me, and I am not as familiar with all of the rules as I hope to be in h intare.

I hope this letter, although a bit lengthy, will provide information which will be helpful. I am interested in resolving any problems which may exist, and assure you our full cooperation in this matter.

Please contact me if there are questions, or if there is any further information which we can provide.

cc: Ruth V. Ray File:Cotabs.ltr